

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES

Docket No. R2006-1

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS TANG
TO PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 16,
QUESTION 8

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness Tang to Question 8 of Presiding Officer's Information Request No. 16, issued September 28, 2006. The question is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

David H. Rubin

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1134
(202) 268-2986; Fax -5402
November 21, 2006

RESPONSE OF POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS TANG
TO PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 16, QUESTION 8

8. Please refer to witness Thress's response to POIR 9, Question 1, where he acknowledged that the rates he used for forecasting Outside County Periodicals TYAR volumes were not the same as the rates proposed by the Postal Service for Outside County Periodicals. The resulting TYAR revenue calculated by witness Tang using the proposed rates and the above-mentioned volume forecast is, therefore, inaccurate. Please provide amended Outside County workpapers (USPS-LR-L-126) which calculate revenue that reflects a new volume forecast consistent with Postal Service proposed rates. Please compare your result with USPS-LR-L-174 (Workpapers of witness O'Hara, USPS-T-31, Filed August 25, 2006) for Outside County Periodicals, and make appropriate adjustments to arrive at a single, consistent result.

RESPONSE:

See the spreadsheet associated with this response, which reflects a volume forecast consistent with Postal Service proposed rates, and is consistent with the spreadsheet provided by witness O'Hara in response to Question 12.

Worksheets that include changes have tabs marked in red.