



## **FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE**

TW/USPS-7 The following questions concern the Periodicals data filed as LR-L-189, in response to the Commission's request in POIR19.

- a. Please confirm that of the 158 publications for which data filed on October 16 was extracted from the Postal-One database, 27 are considered non-machinable. If not confirmed, please provide the correct number.
- b. Please confirm that of the 30 publications for which data filed on October 16 were obtained from a separate survey, 7 are considered non-machinable. If not confirmed, please provide the correct number.
- c. Were the criteria used in this latest survey to establish "machinability" identical to the criteria for "AFSM-100 machinability" used in collecting the data described in LR-L-91? If they were not identical, please describe all differences in the two sets of criteria.
- d. Please state the criteria and methodology based upon which machinability was established for: (1) publications whose data was collected through Postal-One; and (2) publications whose data was collected through a separate survey.
- e. For each publication in LR-L-189 that is identified as "non-machinable," including those whose data were included later than 10-16-2006, please specify why it was so identified, e.g., excess weight, too wide, too short, etc. For publications identified as "non-machinable" based upon the MPU records in their mail.dat files, please provide all other mail.dat information that could impact machinability, including piece weight, piece width and piece length, also included in the MPU records.

TW/USPS-8 The following questions refer to the use of the label "COMAIL" in LR-L-189.

- a. Please confirm that of the 158 Postal-One publications whose data are provided in LR-L-189, 85, or 53.8%, are shown with the label "COMAIL" in Column A.
- b. Please confirm that the label "COMAIL" was used each time any portion of a publication's mailed volume was comailed, even if it consisted only of supplemental copies. If not confirmed, based upon what criteria was the label "COMAIL" applied?
- c. Please confirm that in each case when a publication was found to have had at least some of its volume comailed, the volumes for which you provide mail characteristics data include the comailed volumes of all other

publications that the given publication was comailed with. If not confirmed, please explain.

- d. For each publication to which the "COMAIL" label has been applied in LR-L-189, please provide an estimate of the percent of that publication's mailed volume that is comailed.