Postal Rate Commission
Submitted 8/28/2006 2:51 pm
Filing ID: 52893
Accepted 8/28/2006

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

| | |
|---|---|
| POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2006 | Docket No. R2006-1 |

NOTICE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
REGARDING THE FILING OF A REVISED RESPONSE OF WITNESS O'HARA
TO INTERROGATORY OF UNITED PARCEL SERVICE
(UPS/USPS-T31-1) [ERRATA]

The United States Postal Service hereby files a corrected version of the response of witness O'Hara to UPS/USPS-T31-1. Filed on August 2, 2006, the original response incorrectly identifies this United Parcel Service interrogatory as **OCA**/USPS-T31-1. Attached is a revised response which corrects that error and supersedes the original response. There are no changes in the substance of response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

_____
Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260–1137
(202) 268–3089; Fax –5402
michal.t.tidwell@usps.gov
August 28, 2006

**RESPONSE OF UNTIED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS O'HARA TO INTERROGATORY OF UNITED PARCEL SERVICE**

**Revised: August 28, 2006**

**UPS/USPS-T31-1.** Are "product specific" costs attributable to the class of mail for which they are incurred?

**RESPONSE:**

It is my understanding that "product specific" costs are included in "attributable cost" under the Commission's costing methodology.

"Product specific" costs are also included in the "incremental cost" measure that the Postal Service uses to determine whether Criterion 3 is met by a given subclass. Please see my testimony at page 11, line 35 through page 12, line 9 for a brief description the incremental cost concept and how it differs from the Commission's attributable cost.