

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

EVOLUTIONARY NETWORK DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE CHANGES, 2006

Docket No. N2006-1

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE NOTICE OF FILING OF REVISED RESPONSE
OF WITNESS WILLIAMS TO APWU INTERROGATORY APWU/USPS-T2-11
(May 8, 2006) [ERRATA]

The United States Postal Service hereby submits a revised response of witness Williams to the following interrogatory of the American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO: APWU/USPS-T2-11. The revision corrects a typographical error in the final line of the original February 24, 2006, response. The revised response supersedes the original response. The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the revised response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2998; Fax -5402
michael.t.tidwell@usps.gov

**RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS WILLIAMS TO
INTERROGATORIES OF THE AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION**

Revised: May 8, 2006

APWU/USPS T-2-11

At its January 2006 meeting, the Board of Governors approved a new P&DC at Northeast Michigan Metro. What role did the END process play in the decisions to determine the location of this facility and the operations to be moved to this facility? How will END be involved in other decisions to build and locate new facilities?

RESPONSE

The construction of the Northeast Michigan Metro new facility allows for the potential consolidation of operations from several locations. The END model validated the new facility's role in the future network.