

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2006

Docket No. R2006-1

RESPONSE OF POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS McCRERY
TO INTERROGATORY OF MAJOR MAILERS ASSOCIATION
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS ABDIRAHMAN
(MMA/USPS-T22-40)
(July 24, 2006)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness McCrery to the above-mentioned interrogatory of Major Mailers Association, filed on July 10, 2006, redirected from witness Abdirahman.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Sheela A. Portonovo

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-3012, FAX -6187

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MCCRERY
TO INTERROGATORY OF MAJOR MAILERS ASSOCIATION
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS ABDIRAHMAN

MMA/USPS-T22-40

Please refer to your response to Interrogatory MMA/USPS-T22-7(A) where you indicate that mail pieces that have been successfully processed (i.e., accepted) in an “upstream” automation operation are likely to be successfully processed in a “downstream” operation as well. Are mail pieces that have been unsuccessfully processed (i.e., rejected) in an “upstream automation operation more likely to be processed by manual operations in a “downstream” operation? If no, please explain.

Response:

Confirmed.