

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2006

Docket No. R2006-1

MOTION FOR LATE ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSES OF THE UNITED STATES  
POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF DOUGLAS F. CARLSON  
(DFC/USPS-30 and 34)

The United States Postal Service hereby moves to file its response to the above-listed interrogatories, filed on June 13, 2006 and due on June 27, 2006. The two business day delay resulted when the undersigned, consumed with the coordination of a highly complex filing, failed to open an email noting that the filing the interrogatories responses should probably be assigned to her.

Whereas no one was prejudiced by the brief delay, the Postal Service respectfully requests that its motion for late acceptance be accepted.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Nan K. McKenzie

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-3089; Fax -5402  
June 30, 2006

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
TO INTERROGATORY OF DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

**DFC/USPS-30.** With reference, as appropriate, to testimony filed in this docket, please provide and compare the cost of processing a stamped 9" x 12" envelope containing four sheets of letter-size paper that weighs between 1.1 and 2.0 ounces, a stamped First-Class #10 envelope that weighs between 1.1 and 2.0 ounces and that is 0.3 inches thick, and a two-ounce small parcel that is one inch thick. Assume that each item is deposited loose in a collection box.

**RESPONSE:**

The Postal Service does not have the costs associated with the particular mail items as described, however, please refer to the cost by ounce by shape information for First-Class Mail that was provided in response to DBP/USPS-40.

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
TO INTERROGATORY OF DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

**DFC/USPS-34.** Please describe how the Postal Service processes #10 envelopes that are too thick for automation, and please provide the shape-based cost for processing this mail.

**RESPONSE:** See response to DFC/USPS-32. If the # 10 envelopes are too thick even for DBCS equipment with expanded capabilities, then the pieces will be routed to a manual operation for processing. The Postal Service has not conducted an analysis that would allow us to estimate processing cost specifically for the mail pieces you describe.