

**BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20268-1001**

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2006:

Docket No. R2006-1

**DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
MARC D. McCRERY
ON BEHALF OF THE
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		
2		<u>Page</u>
3	Autobiographical Sketch	
4	I. Purpose of Testimony	1
5	II. Processing Operations.....	2
6	A. Letter and Card Mail Processing	2
7	1. Preparation	2
8	2. Equipment	3
9	3. Processing/Mailflow	11
10	4. Manual	11
11	5. Automation Update.....	12
12	6. Description of Future System Beyond the Test Year.....	13
13	B. Flat Mail Processing.....	13
14	1. Preparation	13
15	2. Equipment	14
16	3. Processing/Mailflow.....	18
17	4. Manual.....	19
18	5. Automation/Mechanization Update.....	21
19	6. Description of Future System Beyond the Test Year.....	21
20	C. Parcels, Bundles, Sacks, and Trays	22
21	1. Parcel Processing	22
22	2. Bundle Processing	25
23	3. Sack Processing	28
24	4. Tray Processing	30
25	5. Description of Future System Beyond the Test Year	32
26	D. Allied Operations	32
27	E. The Breakthrough Productivity Initiative (BPI) in Mail Processing.....	32
28	F. Future Network Considerations.....	34
29	III. Volume and Workhours in Mail Processing	34

1 Direct Testimony
2 of
3 Marc D. McCrery
4 Autobiographical Sketch
5

6 My name is Marc McCrery. I have been the Manager, Operational Requirements
7 and Integration within Operations Planning since April 2004. My office serves as the
8 focal point for operations planning related to operational impacts of rate and mail
9 preparation issues. We interface with pricing, finance, mailing standards, and
10 customers to evaluate and implement various internal and external rate and mail
11 preparation changes. Specific responsibilities include assisting in the development of
12 mail preparation standards and rate-related changes to ensure compatibility with
13 operational processing, determining operational impacts resulting from rate and mail
14 classification cases, and preparing the field for the expected changes before
15 implementation.

16 I joined the Postal Service in 1990 as an Industrial Engineer Trainee. My first
17 assignment was to work at the Des Moines, IA Processing and Distribution Facility with
18 the purpose of learning mail processing operations. A large portion of this period was
19 spent supervising automation on Tour 1. This was followed by supervisory
20 responsibilities at a delivery station in Des Moines, IA, followed by project work in the
21 Engineering Technical Unit (ETU). My second year of training was spent in Harrisburg,
22 PA working in the ETU primarily supporting the plant on staffing, scheduling, and quality
23 projects.

24 Upon leaving the training program in late 1992, I moved to USPS Headquarters
25 as a member of the Facility Activation group, with responsibilities to activate new, large
26 mail processing facilities throughout the country. From that office, I moved to Bulk Mail
27 Center Operations and then to Processing and Distribution Center Operations. During
28 these assignments, I visited dozens of mail processing plants and every Bulk Mail
29 Center within the network. In 1996, I joined the staff of my current office, Operational
30 Requirements and Integration. My responsibilities included developing enhancements
31 to mail preparation requirements and support work on the proposals, testimony,

1 interrogatories, and implementation activities for the R97-1, R2000-1, and R2001-1 rate
2 cases. In 2003, I was promoted to the Manager, Business Mailer Support within
3 Marketing where I was responsible for the management of major mailer postage
4 payment systems and a mail preparation total quality management program for presort
5 bureaus and letter shops. Then in 2004, I was again promoted to the Manager,
6 Operational Requirements and Integration. Last year, I testified as the Operations
7 witness in Docket No. R2005-1. I also had a temporary assignment lasting 3 ½ months
8 in 2004 as the Plant Manager of the Burlington, VT Processing and Distribution Facility.

9 I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Industrial Engineering from the University
10 of Wisconsin – Madison.

1 I. Purpose of Testimony

2 The purpose of my testimony is to provide operational support for various
3 elements of the Postal Service's pricing and classification proposals. In Chapter Two,
4 Processing Operations, I provide an overview of the Postal Service's processing
5 operations for the current environment, the test year, and beyond. I specifically
6 address:

- 7 1. Basic processes by shape;
- 8 2. Types and capabilities of equipment;
- 9 3. Equipment deployments and processing changes planned through the test year and
10 beyond;

11 In Chapter Three, Volume and Workhours in Mail Processing, I discuss the
12 relation between long term (e.g., quarter-to-quarter) volume changes and the resulting
13 workhour changes in support of Dr. Bozzo's (USPS-T-12) calculation of volume
14 variabilities.

15 Finally, I am sponsoring Sections 1A and 2A of USPS-LR-L-49, Explanation of
16 Cost Reductions, Other Programs, and Corporate Wide Activities which is a Category 2
17 Library Reference and details the programs and initiatives that we expect will produce
18 operational savings through the test year. I have used pieces of information within this
19 library reference during the development of my testimony.

1 II. Processing Operations

2 In this part of my testimony, I provide an overview of postal processing
3 operations, with a focus on the equipment and methods used to process mail in today's
4 environment, changes that are scheduled in the near term, and changes that are being
5 investigated that could impact processing operations further in the future. Since we
6 process letters, flats, and parcels as distinct mailstreams with very different methods
7 and varying productivities, each one is discussed separately.

8

9 A. Letter and Card Mail Processing

10 1. Preparation

11 Letter mail preparation operations first require that letters and cards are sorted
12 into three separations: barcoded, non-barcoded machinable, and nonmachinable
13 (manual) to the greatest extent possible. Whether volumes are presented in trays,
14 bundles (such as metered mail), or as single pieces (such as collection mail), these
15 separations are necessary for subsequent piece distribution operations that either read
16 an existing barcode, read and resolve an address then print a barcode, or sort letters
17 or cards manually.

18 The operation where collection mail is prepared is often referred to as "010"¹ and
19 encompasses the culling, facing, and sorting of mail by shape and indicium. This
20 operation is where letters, flats, and parcels are separated for subsequent handling.
21 For bundled mail, bands are removed and sometimes loose pieces are cancelled on
22 the AFCS while other times the pieces are faced and placed in trays. Trays of metered
23 letters are forwarded directly to sortation equipment, while stamped mail is first faced
24 and canceled. Hampers of single-piece collection mail are dumped into the dual-pass
25 rough cull feed system for the Advanced Facer Canceller System (AFCS) described
26 further in the following section. This machine culls out non-letter sized pieces (over 6
27 1/8 inches tall, over 1/4 inch thick, and/or over 11 1/2 inches long). It faces letters based

¹ "010" refers to MODS operations 010-019, 020-029, and 02B for volume and workhour reporting.

1 on the location of the stamp, meter, or Facing Identification Mark (FIM)², and cancels
2 the stamp.

3 The volume arrival profile of collection mail into the 010 operation is dependent
4 upon mail arrivals from stations, branches, associate offices, and collection runs. Due
5 to varied distances and demographics, the arrival profile varies by facility, and may
6 vary by day depending on volume, weather, traffic, day of the week, or month. The
7 status of the outgoing mail preparation operation dictates whether the subsequent
8 operations will meet the operating plan's clearance times (the time processing must be
9 completed), since none of the outgoing operations can be finalized until the 010
10 operation is clear of all mail volume.

11 Letter mail is also received from sources other than collections. The Business
12 Mail Entry Unit (BMEU) supplies bulk mail at origin. At destination, the primary sources
13 are presorted mail from mailers and mail sorted by origin postal facilities. At
14 destination, letters are again separated into barcoded, non-barcoded machinable, and
15 manual mail flows for subsequent processing.

16 Generally speaking, letters and cards are handled the same within the automated
17 and manual letter processing environments with no significant differences in the piece
18 distribution productivities.

19 2. Equipment

20 Letter processing operations are geared towards barcoding and/or sorting as
21 much letter volume through automated operations as possible, with the ultimate goal of
22 processing letters into Delivery Point Sequence (DPS)³ or, to a lesser extent, to the
23 carrier route level. Letter sorting equipment sorts letters into bins that subsequently
24 have to be manually swept into letter trays. Therefore, processing may commence
25 without first setting up all of the trays.⁴

26

² FIM is the series of vertical bars to the left of the postage area such as on courtesy reply (FIM A) and business reply (FIM C) mail indicating the letter is barcoded.

³ DPS provides letters to the carrier in walk sequence of the route, thereby eliminating the need for the carrier to case letters in walk sequence in the office.

⁴ This affects the time required to change a scheme and differs from the FSMs, which sort directly into trays that must be set up each scheme change.

1 • The Advanced Facer Cancellor System (AFCS) - The AFCS culls, faces, cancels,
2 and enables on-line sortation of letters and cards into either local destinations, non-local
3 destinations, barcoded FIMs A and C, and rejects. AFCSs have recently received the
4 Optical Character Reader (OCR), Remote Computer Reader (RCR) and Input Sub
5 System (ISS) modifications to capture images for the Remote Bar Coding System (see
6 RBCS below). AFCS image lift has reduced the pressure on the downstream outgoing
7 operations, thereby easing the constraints on the outgoing processing window and
8 allowing incoming processing to start earlier. Throughput⁵ of the 1,083 AFCSs is
9 approximately 32,000 pieces per machine hour and the staffing index is one mailhandler
10 per machine.

11 Improvements are underway for all AFCS machines which include a cancellation
12 upgrade with full deployment expected by April 2006. The inkjet canceller (IJC)
13 automates the update process of the cancellation postmark eliminating the daily
14 manual effort required to update the AFCS machine's date stamp. The AFCSs have
15 received a doubles detector and an OCR upgrade. The doubles detector recognizes
16 double feeds as they occur and creates a more efficient rehandling process. The
17 OCR upgrade results in the machine being capable of identifying the 5-digit
18 destination ZIP Code of each letter it processes enabling the machine to make the
19 local and non-local splits described above. The separation of local and non-local
20 addresses provides the opportunity for the two downstream flows to be processed
21 on separate machines thereby reducing handlings. Recently, all AFCSs were
22 retrofitted with OCRs as part of the Video Facing Modification program and
23 networked into a second processor, the RCR (see RBCS).

24 • Multiline Optical Character Reader (MLOCR) - Non-barcoded machinable letters,
25 typically metered and presorted volume, are fed through MLOCRs to obtain a postal
26 applied barcode. A total of 875 MLOCRs have been deployed. Previous

⁵Throughput is entirely distinct from productivity. Throughput is the number of pieces that can be fed through the machine during one machine run hour. Productivity is the total pieces finalized (pieces fed minus rejects) divided by the total workhours used (includes setup, sweep, jam clearance time, etc.).

1 enhancements have improved the overall encode rate of the MLOCR and reduced the
2 amount of mail that obtains a barcode through Remote Bar Coding. Throughput of an
3 MLOCR is approximately 29,000 pieces per hour. It has a staffing index of two clerks,
4 one feeding and the other sweeping its 60 stackers. Since MLOCRs currently are
5 experiencing end of life parts issues, it has been determined that replacement of 646
6 MLOCRs with the more efficient DBCS Input/Output Subsystem - Expanded Capability
7 (DIOSS-EC) machines (see below) is necessary. It is expected that by May 2007 there
8 will be only 294 MLOCRs remaining in operation at smaller plants with further
9 reductions planned.

10 • Remote Bar Coding System (RBCS) - RBCS has three distinct components: the
11 Input Sub System (ISS), the Image Processing Sub System (IPSS), and the Output
12 Sub System (OSS). The ISS consists of a retrofitted MLOCR (MLOCR-ISS),
13 retrofitted Advanced Facer Cancellor System (AFCS-ISS), and/or retrofitted DBCS
14 Input/Output Subsystem (DIOSS) and is used to “lift images” of non-barcode
15 unreadable machinable letters. A fluorescent ID tag is sprayed on the back of all
16 mailpieces and an electronic image of the mailpiece not resolved by the MLOCR is
17 forwarded to the IPSS. The IPSS is the computer system, which controls the image
18 flows, contains the barcode result information, and communicates with the Remote
19 Encoding Center’s (REC) system. While in the IPSS, the image may be resolved
20 through the use of a Remote Computer Reader (RCR)⁶. If not resolved, it will be
21 forwarded on to a REC where an operator keys the address information into a
22 computer. Once the address is resolved to the depth of sort required (5, 9 or 11-
23 digits), the mailpiece is fed back through the OSS. The OSS is a retrofitted Mail
24 Processing Barcode Sorter (MPBCS-OSS), DBCS (DBCS-OSS), or DIOSS where
25 the fluorescent ID tag is read and the barcode information is accessed from the
26 IPSS Decision Storage Unit to apply the barcode to the piece. RBCS is fully
27 deployed to over 330 plants. In FY 2005, the total REC volume was approximately

⁶RCR is an off-line optical character recognition device that is part of RBCS. It uses advanced recognition techniques and is currently able to resolve 78.39 percent of the letter mail images introduced to it for processing. Percentage of images keyed at the REC that were not finalized at the OSS (System Leakage) was 8.26.

1 6.4 billion images⁷. As of March 2006, there were 12 RECs, a reduction of 13 since
2 March 2001. The Letter Recognition Enhancement Program (LREP) is further
3 enhancing the handwritten and machine-print address recognition technology used
4 in letter mail automation equipment (i.e., OCRs and RCRs). The primary benefit
5 from this program is a reduction in keying workhours required at RECs. Incremental
6 improvements were deployed through February 2006.

- 7 • Delivery Bar Code Sorter (DBCS) - This machine is used for processing letters
8 already barcoded either by the OCR, RBCS, or our customers. DBCSs come in
9 multiple configurations; most machines have between 190 and 220 sortation bins.
10 Due to the greater number of sort stackers compared to the MPBCS (see below),
11 the DBCS is used for outgoing processing, incoming primary sortation⁸, and Delivery
12 Point Sequencing (DPS). The DBCS deployment is complete with over 5,200
13 sorters currently operational. Throughput is approximately 37,000 pieces per hour
14 and the staffing index is two clerks. Currently, 94 machines have been modified
15 with expanded capabilities (DBCS-EC), which allow the machine to process letters
16 with a wider range of mail characteristics. A number of DBCS machines and all 94
17 DBCS-EC machines are expected to be converted to DIOSS-EC machines (see
18 below) in the near future.
- 19 • As the automation workhorse, some DBCSs have undergone other changes to
20 better fit specific processing needs. An additional 1632 stacker modules were
21 added to some machines at 216 postal processing facilities to accommodate the
22 growth in delivery points and volume for DPS. Deployment was completed in
23 November 2004. The new stackers provide the capability to process more mail in
24 DPS order, thereby reducing the number of handlings required to sort letter mail to

⁷The RECs also receive images from the PARS, AFSM 100, and APPS image lift systems (see respective sections further in the testimony). Also, RBCS system will be enhanced in the future with a Universal Coding System (UCS) replacing the IPSS that will better manage the images and allow keying stations to resolve images from all sources.

⁸Incoming is defined as operations that process mail volume for one or multiple destination 3-digit ZIP Codes. Incoming primary generally involves processing volume to the 5-digit ZIP Code level, while incoming secondary generally involves further distribution to the carrier-route level.

1 its final destination. In addition, new Wide Field Of View (WFOV) cameras have
2 replaced the aging Wide Area Bar Code Readers (WABCRs) to provide
3 improvements to current reader acceptance rates, reduce operations disruptions due
4 to unsupportable WABCR equipment, support Information Platform initiatives, and
5 facilitate deployment of new letter mail sorting technologies.

- 6 • DBCS Input/Output Subsystem (DIOSS) - The DIOSS is a combination of
7 DBCS/OCR/ISS/OSS technology in one machine. One of the main advantages of
8 DIOSS is that it provides all capabilities in a small footprint with up to 300 sorting
9 bins, depending on the DBCS configuration. The DIOSS can be operated in DBCS
10 mode for the processing of a barcoded mail stream or OCR/ISS mode for a
11 predominately non-barcoded mail stream. Currently there are 222 DIOSS machines
12 deployed in the field. Throughput is approximately 37,000 pieces per hour while
13 operating in the DBCS/OSS modes and 32,000 pieces per hour operating in the
14 OCR/ISS operation modes. The different throughputs are the result of a variable
15 speed motor that slows the machine in the OCR/ISS mode to allow for the additional
16 time for address look-up. The staffing index is two clerks.
- 17 • DBCS Input/Output Subsystem – Expanded Capability (DIOSS-EC) – The ultimate
18 DBCS retrofit available is the DIOSS-EC (DBCS Input/Output Subsystem –
19 Expanded Capability). This machine has expanded capabilities that allow
20 processing of a wider range (e.g., thicker, heavier, and stiffer pieces) of mail
21 characteristics than is handled by a DBCS today while processing at the same
22 throughput as the current DIOSS equipment. The Postal Service plans to purchase
23 395 new DIOSS-EC machines together with the 222 converted DBCS machines.
24 This will bring the total number of DIOSS-EC machines to 617. Deployment began
25 in January 2006 and is expected to end in June 2007. The staffing index is two
26 clerks.
- 27 • Carrier Sequence Bar Code Sorter (CSBCS) - This machine is primarily located in
28 delivery units and is used for Delivery Point Sequencing. The CSBCS sequences
29 barcoded letters and cards already sorted by carrier route into delivery sequence
30 order in three passes. Letters are processed for one to six carrier route(s) at a time
31 because the number of stackers (17, 21, or 25) supports a limited number of delivery

1 points and volume. There are approximately 3,500 CSBCSs at approximately 1,000
2 sites and all have been retrofitted with Wide Field of View (WFOV) cameras since
3 the original deployment. Throughput is approximately 19,000 pieces per hour with a
4 staffing index of one. There are no future purchases planned, and the utilization of
5 CSBCS equipment has been declining as an attempt has been made over the last
6 several years to maximize the number of zones processed on DBCS equipment due
7 to the increased productivity and improved performance in comparison to CSBCS
8 equipment. It is expected that the trend of zones shifting from CSBCS to DBCS
9 equipment will continue.

- 10 • Mail Processing Bar Code Sorter (MPBCS) - This machine is a generation prior to
11 the DBCSs and is nearing the end of its useful life. It has 96 bins, and is used
12 primarily for outgoing primary, incoming primary, and incoming secondary carrier-
13 route processing with OSS modifications. The number of operational MPBCSs in
14 the field has declined from 626 last year to 547 this year, and the decline is expected
15 to continue. Throughput is approximately 35,000 pieces per hour. Staffing is two
16 clerks.
- 17 • ID Code Sortation (ICS) - The ICS system, installed on all types of BCS equipment,
18 allows sortation using the ID tag as well as the POSTNET barcode. The system
19 provides a redundant opportunity for sorting a mailpiece. If the barcode becomes
20 unreadable for any reason, the BCS will look for an ID tag. If an ID tag exists, it will
21 look up the unique tag number (every mailpiece in the national system is unique for
22 30 days) in the national database for the barcode information associated with the
23 mailpiece. The BCS will then sort the mailpiece to the correct stacker based on the
24 destination information from the database. The ICS reduces the need to apply
25 LMLM (see below) labels to letters with unreadable POSTNET barcodes.
- 26 • Letter Mail Labeling Machine (LMLM) - The LMLM is an integral piece of equipment
27 of the RBCS and allows more mail to remain in the automated mail stream by
28 providing another opportunity to put a clean, readable, barcode or ID tag on the
29 mailpiece. LMLM labels are also applied to mailpieces before processing when
30 machinable, yet too glossy for the barcode and/or ID tag to be applied without
31 smearing. This machine applies a white label to either the front of a letter to provide

1 a barcode clear zone or to the back of the letter for application of a clean, readable
2 fluorescent ID tag. The machine is also used to cover bad barcodes in order to
3 prevent “loop mail”, for example, on return to sender letters. There are 360 LMLMs
4 deployed with an approximate throughput of 20,000 pieces per hour and each is
5 staffed by one clerk.

- 6 • Postal Automated Redirection System (PARS) – This program automates the
7 handling of machinable Undeliverable-As-Addressed (UAA) letter mail. It intercepts
8 approximately fifty percent of move-related mail at the originating processing facility
9 and as appropriate either forwards, returns, or wastes the piece, thus eliminating
10 many multiple downstream handlings. Letter automation equipment is retrofitted
11 with hardware in addition to software containing the national change-of-address
12 (NCOA) database which allows the equipment to intercept approximately fifty
13 percent of UAA mail at originating processing facilities as part of the first handling
14 similar to the *FASTforward*SM process.⁹ The identified UAA mail is discharged into
15 output stackers and their images are sent to an Advanced Forwarding Reader (AFR)
16 which determines the disposition of each UAA mailpiece. If the AFR is unsuccessful
17 in processing the captured image, then the image is transmitted to a Remote
18 Encoding Center (REC) for resolution. Carriers identify the remainder of the PARS-
19 candidate UAA mail in delivery units. For mail that requires additional handling such
20 as forwarding, return, or services associated with ancillary service endorsements,
21 the carrier sends it to mail processing facilities. Both of these streams are
22 processed on a specially modified DBCS, called a Combined Input/Output
23 Subsystem (CIOSS), which lifts images of mailpieces then generates and applies
24 the Postal Service’s yellow labels for re-directed mail (e.g., return-to-sender,
25 forwarded). CIOSS also lifts the information needed to provide electronic and
26 hardcopy notifications required for Address Change Service.

27 The change of address (COA) notification process also changes under the PARS
28 program. A redesigned Change of Address Order (PS Form 3575) is scanned, then

⁹ Refer to DMM 507.3.3 for more information concerning *FASTforward*SM.

1 analyzed by multiple OCR engines or resolved through REC coding, and the
2 information is uploaded to the NCOA database.

3 The initial phase of the PARS program, Phase I, covered comprehensive
4 implementation in 49 Postal Processing & Distribution Centers (P&DCs), all of the
5 Postal Service's Remote Encoding Centers (RECs), and the delivery units that they
6 serve. Additionally, CFPS (COA Forms Processing Sub-System) scanners have
7 been deployed into 87 Computerized Forwarding System (CFS) sites and "Key from
8 Paper" terminals have been deployed to all CFS sites to automate COA entries into
9 the national PCOA (PARS Change of Address). CFS units are removing their
10 mechanized letter terminals and some are being consolidated because their
11 automated letter mail workload is being redirected to a nearby processing plant. The
12 Change-of-Address Reporting System (COARS) accompanies PARS. This system
13 archives COA data and enables delivery unit personnel to view electronic images of
14 scanned COAs.

15 PARS Phase 1 deployment was completed in November 2004. Phase 2 of the
16 program will cover comprehensive implementation for handling letter mail in 233
17 Postal Processing & Distribution Centers (P&DCs) and the delivery units they serve.
18 Deployment of Phase 2 is underway and is expected to be completed in September
19 2007.

- 20 • Reject Encoding Machine (REM) – The REM will encode letter mail rejected by the
21 OCR/ISS and DBCS/OSS systems and currently being re-handled in manual
22 operations, thereby reducing manual hours and increasing DPS. The REM can also
23 apply a POSTNET or ID Tag label on the envelope; as a result, the mail avoids re-
24 handlings on the Letter Mail Labeling Machine (LMLM). It can also read and
25 process remaining Address Change Service (ACS) mail found in the Return-to-
26 Sender (RTS) stream. Other potential uses of this machine include the processing
27 of Postal Automation Redirection System (PARS) pieces rejected by the Combined
28 Input/Output Sub-system (CIOSS), return-to-sender mail for small non-CIOSS sites,
29 loop mail, missent mail, shiny postcard mail, and bulk mailings with poor read rates.
30 Eleven pre-production machines have been deployed in sites across the country,
31 and it is expected that approximately 110 machines will be deployed by March 2008.

- 1 • Distribution Quality Improvement (DQI) – The DQI will further enhance the address
2 recognition technology used in mail automation equipment. The program will resolve
3 to a finer depth of code much of the letter mail that cannot be otherwise fully
4 resolved, resulting in additional volume encoded to delivery points. It will improve
5 RCR acceptance and finalization rates and reduce error rates. Increases in
6 acceptance and finest depth of sort rates will reduce keying workhours required at
7 the REC and manual distribution workhours at the plants and delivery units. Higher
8 RCR encode rates will produce workhour savings in manual distribution and carrier
9 casing. There will be several incremental software releases over the next two years.

10 3. Processing/Mailflow

11 The availability of extra sort bins on the DBCS equipment provides the ability to
12 process a significant portion of the letters to the 5-digit ZIP Code level on the incoming
13 primary sort scheme even when the scheme has been established to sort the entire
14 service area of the plant, a service area likely containing multiple 3-digit ZIP Codes.
15 Therefore, a pure 3-digit letter tray versus a multiple 3-digit letter tray can have similar
16 value in terms of the reduction in pieces handlings. Barcoded automation letters
17 presorted to the 5-digit level provide additional value, since these trays bypass the
18 additional upstream handlings prior to incoming secondary distribution.

19 Non-barcoded presorted letters must first be barcoded on an MLOCR/DIOSS.
20 Due to the relatively small volume of non-barcoded letters at destination, incoming
21 MLOCR/DIOSS sort programs are typically configured to process letter mail for the
22 entire service area of the plant. Therefore, letters already sorted to the 5-digit or in
23 many cases 3-digit level have little or no additional value compared to letters sorted into
24 trays for the entire service area of the plant. In other words, even when non-barcoded
25 letter mail is sorted into 5-digit or single 3-digit letter trays, the mail is typically
26 processed on a plant level sort scheme on the MLOCR/DIOSS.

27 4. Manual

28 Volume that is still left in manual letter operations is primarily composed of pieces
29 that are deemed to be nonmachinable on letter automation due to one of several
30 factors. Any letter-size piece is considered nonmachinable if it meets any of the
31 nonmachinable criteria listed in DMM 201.2.0.

1 These mailpieces are excluded from automated processing for various reasons,
2 but primarily due to the incompatibility with automated processing, which may impede
3 the mail flow or damage the mail or mail processing equipment. Manual letters are
4 considerably more costly to operations (approximately 13 times more labor cost per
5 handling) to process than machinable letters. Pieces over 6 1/8 inches in height, ¼ inch
6 thick, and/or 11½ inches in length are considered a flat or a parcel.

7 Rejects from automation also end up in the manual operation. Pieces may have
8 been rejected due to an unreadable barcode and ID tag, inadequate customer
9 addressing, or insufficient barcode (e.g., 5- or 9-digit code) for DPS processing. For
10 example, the street directional (North or South) or suffix (St, Rd, Dr) may be missing,
11 yet is required for coding to the delivery point when duplication exists in the address
12 range. As stackers are swept in automated operations, many of these rejects arrive in
13 manual operations close to the clearance time, which is the completion time necessary
14 to meet dispatches. Manual operations are staffed accordingly to meet service
15 commitments.

16 5. Automation Update

17 During FY 2005, the total letter mail volume was approximately 154 billion pieces,
18 of which approximately 99 billion were barcoded through automation discounts, or 64.7
19 percent of all letters. Customer applied barcodes comprised almost 76 percent of the
20 total letter mail barcodes. The remaining 24 percent were applied by the Postal
21 Service. Of the postal applied 9- or 11-digit barcodes, 59.4 percent were applied by the
22 OCR, with the balance resolved remotely.

23 During this same period, 90 percent of all barcoded incoming secondary letter
24 mail¹⁰ and approximately 23,000 zones were sorted in DPS. This includes Enhanced
25 Carrier Route letters, which are often captured at or backhauled to the plant for DPS
26 processing, negating the benefit of customer destination entry of letters into delivery
27 units. This includes Detached Address Labels (DALs), which are also often transported
28 back to the plant for DPS processing in order to eliminate the need to manually case the

¹⁰ This DPS letter volume also represents 79 percent of all incoming secondary letters (barcoded and nonbarcoded).

1 cards in delivery.¹¹ Of the total incoming secondary distribution performed on
2 automation, 90 percent was sorted to DPS, 4 percent to sector/segment, and 6 percent
3 to carrier route. Sector/segment operations require two passes to sort to the ZIP+4 and
4 are usually for a “Firm” or post office box program for 9-digit unique holdouts.

5 To further increase the percentage of letter mail in DPS, enhanced address
6 improvement systems utilize information from our carriers to resolve more incorrect or
7 incomplete addresses. This is particularly beneficial when the address is missing
8 crucial elements (e.g., suite number) in parts of the country with a high concentration of
9 apartment buildings and high rises.

10 Also, as letter mail piece distribution has become more efficient, the tradeoff
11 between piece sorts and tray handlings has been impacted. When letters are prepared
12 in presort destination trays by customers, piece handlings are saved but an additional
13 tray handling cost will likely be incurred. With more productive piece distribution
14 operations, a reevaluation of the requirements for the preparation of carrier-route letter
15 trays and overflow letter trays containing very few pieces is needed.

16 6. Description of Future Systems Beyond the Test Year

17 Ongoing improvements in image recognition technology and equipment
18 modifications will continue to be pursued to increase automated volumes. Beyond the
19 various enhancements and new programs (e.g., PARS) previously discussed, there are
20 only limited opportunities to increase efficiencies within letter mail processing operations
21 through the application of proven technologies.

22 23 B. Flat Mail Processing

24 This portion of my testimony is devoted to piece distribution operations where
25 individual flats are processed. The processing of bundles of flats in opening unit
26 operations is covered later in my testimony, under parcels and bundles.

27 1. Preparation

28 Depending on the class of mail, flats destined for piece distribution operations
29 can originate from several different operations. First-Class metered or permit flats that

¹¹ Cards that are 3.5” x 5” or larger must be at least 0.009” in thickness in order to be successfully processed on automation on a consistent basis.

1 are prepared in flat tubs by mailers generally can be sent from the platform or BMEU
2 directly to flats sorting operations. Flats obtained through the collection mail stream that
3 subsequently go through the 010 operation are faced, canceled (if necessary), and
4 containerized before they are sent to flats sorting operations. Flats that originate from
5 opening unit¹² operations must also be “prepped” before they can be inducted into piece
6 distribution operations. Depending on where the prepping is performed, it can consist of
7 unloading containers, separating bundles for subsequent operations, removing the
8 packaging material, orienting, and stacking the flats in postal containers or on ledges of
9 distribution equipment. All of the prepping operations are performed manually and are
10 labor-intensive.

11 Barcoded and non-barcoded flats are “prepped” together in a single operation
12 and are directed to piece distribution operations based on machinability (see
13 “Equipment” section below), mail class, and presort level. All flats sorting equipment is
14 able to process both barcoded and non-barcoded pieces together in the same
15 operation. Most non-carrier route presort flats receive some level of processing on flats
16 sorting equipment.

17 2. Equipment

18 There currently are two different types of equipment used in the Postal Service to
19 process flats:

- 20 • Upgraded Multi-Position Flats Sorting Machine 1000 (UFSM 1000) - This machine
21 is intended to process the vast majority of flats that are nonmachinable on the
22 AFSM 100 (see below). There are 350 machines deployed, and most have three
23 keying stations, one Optical Character Reader (OCR), and one automatic high-
24 speed flats feeder that sorts to 100 bins. The machine can be operated in keying
25 mode, automatic feed mode, or both simultaneously. There is no on-line video
26 coding for OCR rejects nor does it spray a barcode on the mail piece. The
27 throughput is approximately 5,000 pieces per hour with a staffing index of five in
28 keying mode and 9,000 pieces per hour and a staffing index of three in automatic
29 feed mode. The Flats Recognition Improvement Program (FRIP) enhances the

¹² Opening units are operations within processing facilities where containers of mail are opened and prepared for distribution, or closed and prepared for dispatch.

1 address recognition technology used on the UFSM 1000, resulting in an
2 improvement in OCR acceptance rates and a reduction in error rates. Three
3 incremental software releases are projected to occur in the next three years.
4 Deployment of secondary address readers for the UFSM 1000s is anticipated in
5 September 2006, while deployment of new cameras is expected in September
6 2007. There are no plans to purchase additional UFSM 1000s.

- 7 • Automated Flats Sorting Machine 100 (AFSM 100) - This machine is the
8 workhorse for flats distribution in processing plants. Currently, there are 534
9 machines in use. The processing and technological capabilities of this machine are
10 vastly superior to those of the UFSM 1000. The machine has three automatic
11 feeders and can sort to 120 bins. It has both BCR and OCR capability, as well as
12 remote video coding¹³ for the OCR rejects. Similar to the UFSM 1000, the AFSM
13 does not spray a POSTNET barcode on a flat mail piece. Throughput of the AFSM
14 100 is approximately 17,000 pieces per hour and the staffing index is five clerks on
15 the machine. Unlike letter sorting equipment which sorts to bins, all FSMs sort mail
16 directly into flat trays.

17 There are a number of current and new programs which will increase productivity
18 on the AFSM 100. The Flats Identification Code Sort (FICS) program adds the
19 capability to place a label and print an Identification (ID) Tag on all non-barcoded
20 flat mail that is processed on the machine. This tag is used to sort the mail in
21 subsequent operations. Deployment began in May 2004 and ended in June 2005.
22 Similar to the UFSM 1000, the Flat Recognition Improvement Program (FRIP) will
23 also improve the OCR acceptance rate and reduce the error rate on the AFSM 100.

24 The Flat Remote Encoding System (FRES) program for the AFSM 100 will be
25 used to improve the efficiency of the video encoding operation being performed at
26 Remote Encoding Centers (RECs). It will increase the "pooling" capabilities for flat
27

¹³ Addresses unreadable by the OCR are resolved at REC keying sites. When the machines were originally deployed, the images were keyed at on-site video terminals, but this responsibility has been shifted to the RECs due to increased keying efficiency.

1 video coding personnel by providing load balancing of coding requirements at each
2 REC. FRES will increase the number of AFSM 100 machines for which each REC
3 keying workstation can process images at any one time from 10 to 99. The
4 resulting primary benefit from this program will be a reduction in the number of flat
5 keyers needed as each keying terminal will be able to see images from a much
6 larger number of AFSM 100 machines. FRES deployment began in November
7 2005 and is expected to end in July 2006.

8 In addition to current enhancements to the AFSM 100, there are a number of
9 new programs to increase the efficiency of flat mail processing:

- 10 • Automatic Induction Systems for the AFSM 100 - The Automatic Induction (AI)
11 System consists of three main components – a flat mail preparation system, an
12 Automation Compatible Tray (ACT) transport system and automatic feeders. This
13 program will improve the flat mail preparation operation by relocating the current
14 preparation operation and by replacing the arrangement of flat mail carts and other
15 containers with a state-of-the-art preparation and transport system. The tray
16 transport system will provide for controlled flow of full ACTs from preparation
17 workstations to AFSM 100 feeding stations. The automatic feeders will remove the
18 front panel of the ACT, slide it forward against the existing stack of flats, and slip
19 the ACT out from under the mail while maintaining forward pressure on the new,
20 larger stack of flats. The flats will be automatically fed into the AFSM 100 feeding
21 module with minimal operator assistance required. This initiative will reduce the
22 clerks needed to feed the machines from three down to one, with two to five
23 mailhandlers needed to prep the mail, a slight increase in the prepping hours.

24 Phase 1 deployment began in October 2005 and will end in August 2006. During
25 Phase 1, AI systems will be added to 210 (206 operational systems plus 4 training
26 systems) Automatic Flat Sorting Machines (AFSM) 100s. Under the Phase 2 effort,
27 Automatic Induction (AI) systems will be purchased and added to approximately
28 145 additional Automatic Flat Sorting Machine (AFSM) 100s. Thus, it is anticipated
29 that a total of 351 operational AFSM 100s will be retrofitted with the AI system.
30 Deployment of Phase 2 is anticipated to begin in January 2007 and end in August
31 2007.

- 1 • Automatic Tray Handling Systems (ATHS) for the AFSM 100 - The ATHS will
2 increase the machine's automation capabilities and reduce the labor required to
3 operate the machine. It will replace the tray take-away conveyors on the original
4 AFSM 100 with more elaborate fixed mechanization. The ATHS will replace the
5 transport belts with powered rollers, add Automatic Tray Destackers and label
6 printer/applicators, and replace the existing 'bin full' paddles with photo sensors to
7 improve the consistency of the volume of flats discharged into each flat tray. Each
8 ATHS will automatically eject full trays onto the transport conveyor and produce a
9 properly labeled empty tray to replace the one just dispatched. When the run is
10 completed, the ATHS will systematically dispatch all of the required trays and label
11 and insert a new set of trays for the next sort plan. Phase I deployment began in
12 June 2005 and is expected to be completed in June 2006. During this phase,
13 ATHS will be added to 350 operational AFSM 100s and 4 training machines. Phase
14 II of this program is expected to add ATHS to approximately 50 additional AFSM
15 100 machines. The ATHS will reduce the AFSM 100 operation staffing requirement
16 from five employees to four by eliminating one sweeper position. It will improve the
17 utilization of flat mail trays by reducing misorientation of mail pieces dropping into
18 the trays and ensuring that trays are more uniformly filled to the optimal level.
- 19 • Automatic Flats Tray Lidders (AFTLs) - This program has deployed Automatic Flats
20 Tray Lidders (AFTLs) for use in dispatch operations nationwide. A total of 120
21 AFTLs have been deployed including one training system. The AFTL is a self-
22 contained mechanized system that will be installed either in-line or as a standalone
23 device. It eliminates the need to manually put lids on flat trays during dispatch
24 operations, significantly reducing labor requirements associated with the current
25 operation. With an AFTL, flats trays ready for dispatch can be fed manually
26 (standalone) or automatically from a tray line (in-line). The AFTL design includes a
27 staging section where at least 400 flats tray lids can be stored. The machine
28 accesses a stack of flats tray lids from the staging section, picks a lid, folds two
29 flaps, and inserts the lid into the tray. The tray is automatically passed onto the
30 next processing operation for banding and/or airline assignment. In-line
31 installations can be fed and swept automatically, and require about 15 minutes of

1 manual labor per hour to restock lids. Deployment was completed in September
2 2004.

- 3 • Postal Automation Redirection System (PARS) for Flats – The Postal Automated
4 Redirection System (PARS) for Flats will handle Undeliverable-As-Addressed
5 (UAA) flat mail more efficiently than today's process. UAA mail will be intercepted
6 earlier in the sorting process resulting in a reduction in total handlings. This phase
7 will cover comprehensive implementation of PARS for flats at all processing plants
8 that handle flat mail and the delivery units they serve. Deployment of PARS for
9 flats is projected to begin in February 2008 and be completed in September 2010.
- 10 • Flats Sequencing System (FSS) – The FSS will be used to walk sequence flat mail
11 pieces for delivery within a single or multiple 5-digit delivery zones. The production
12 machine is expected to have 360 sortation bins and flat mail will be passed through
13 it twice, resulting in flats in walk sequence for each carrier. For the portion of flats
14 that can be processed on FSS, manual carrier casing and pull-down activities at the
15 carrier's case will no longer be necessary. Deployment is expected to begin in April
16 2008 contingent upon successful testing and board approval.

17 3. Processing/Mailflow

18 Most flats that require piece distribution are machinable on the AFSM 100, and
19 as a result, field sites flow flats to that machine first. The BCR/OCR scans the
20 mailpiece in search of a barcode/address block/ID tag. If a POSTNET barcode or ID
21 tag is found, the piece is sorted based on the information read by the BCR or stored in
22 an ICS database. If a barcode or ID tag is not found or cannot be read, the OCR looks
23 for the delivery address and the piece is subsequently ID tagged and sorted based on
24 the information returned by the OCR. Flats that contain extraneous information, thereby
25 interfering with OCR address recognition, or addresses that cannot be read by the
26 OCR, have their images keyed on-line, sent to the UFSM 1000 for mechanized keying,
27 or to manual operations.

28 The AFSM 100 sort plans often process multiple ZIP Codes concurrently based
29 on the number of sort bins required for each ZIP Code. For example, an incoming
30 primary sort plan can process multiple 3-digit ZIPs within the plant's service area. In
31 recognition of this fact, starting in January 2005 mailers were able to prepare AFSM

1 100-compatible flat mail pieces from multiple 3-digit ZIP Codes into 3-digit scheme
2 bundles in accordance with a new DMM labeling list (L008) in lieu of preparing the
3 pieces in separate 3-digit bundles. This list is similar to the existing list (L007) that
4 allows multiple 5-digit ZIPs to be prepared in scheme bundles that match the incoming
5 secondary sort plans, thus collapsing pieces into fewer bundles. The L008 labeling list
6 was implemented in January 2005 and became required for certain preparation in April
7 2005. The new scheme further reduces the bundle sorting and prepping requirements
8 associated with flat mail.

9 A portion of the flats that are non-machinable on the AFSM 100 are diverted to
10 the UFSM 1000. Although the UFSM 1000 is able to process a wider variety of flats
11 and has reduced the volume processed in manual operations, it is not intended to
12 process parcels. The pieces processed on the UFSM 1000 in today's operating
13 environment have many of the same characteristics as those processed on the AFSM
14 100s, but the flats can be thicker and/or heavier. In plants with both AFSM 100s and
15 UFSM 1000s, the UFSM 1000s are often utilized as an additional piece of flat sorting
16 equipment, with unique responsibilities in terms of the schemes processed on the
17 machine. UFSM 1000s are being relocated to smaller plants that do not have AFSM
18 100 equipment.

19 4. Manual

20 Flats that remain in manual operations at the plant today are pieces that do not
21 meet the processing specifications for the AFSM 100s or UFSM 1000s or rejects off of
22 this equipment. Examples of these types of flats include rolls, lightweight pieces,
23 thick/heavy flats, pieces that are not uniform in thickness, and pieces with insufficient
24 addressing. The amount of manual volume also depends on the equipment set at any
25 given facility and the schemes processed on each type of equipment. Occasionally,
26 when flats sorting equipment is at full capacity some flat mail must be processed in
27 manual operations in order to ensure that service standards are met. More commonly,
28 small volumes of flats for a particular destination are processed manually when the
29 volume is insufficient to justify the fixed costs of setting-up and sweeping a scheme for
30 such a small volume. Manual flats are considerably more costly to operations
31 (approximately 3 times more labor cost per handling) to process than machinable flats.

1 Very few delivery units have an FSM, so the vast majority of the incoming
2 secondary processing at the delivery units is manual. Very little manual incoming
3 secondary distribution takes place at plants. Within the last five years, this distribution
4 has been decentralized from the plants and moved to the delivery units for all shapes of
5 mail.

6 Rigid flats do not process well on the AFSM 100. Even at plants that still have
7 UFSM 1000s that could process such pieces, rigid flats are commonly processed
8 manually or on mechanized or automated bundle/parcel sorting equipment. These
9 items are then sorted manually in an incoming secondary sorting operation at the
10 delivery unit. As witness Coombs (USPS-T-44) describes, carriers would otherwise
11 incur additional time to remove rigid flats and carry these items as parcels because
12 otherwise the rigid pieces would destabilize their flats bundle and may not fit into
13 smaller mail receptacles. Generally, carriers cannot even case rigid flats beyond a
14 modest size.

15 Also, extremely small and large flats are problematic in processing even though
16 they may fall within the physical limitations of the UFSM 1000s. These would be pieces
17 less than 5" x 6" or larger than 12" x 15" x $\frac{3}{4}$ ". Such pieces can cause jams or feeder
18 problems when mixed with flats of varying sizes, particularly on the AFSM 100, and they
19 do not stack well in the output tubs. Small and large flats can also create problems in
20 delivery, as these pieces can also destabilize the carrier's flats bundle. Therefore,
21 small, large, thick, and rigid flat-shaped mail pieces are unlikely to be processed in an
22 automated flat mail stream.

23 Over the next six months, particular attention will be paid to decreasing
24 processing costs associated with flat mail, particularly Periodicals. The outgoing
25 processing and distribution of flat mail pieces prepared in new mixed (residual) bundles
26 and sacks will be performed at the origin processing facility along with First-Class Mail
27 for destinations served by surface transportation. This allows the mixed bundles and
28 sacks to be processed in existing outgoing distribution operations and utilize existing
29 surface transportation networks. Also, any automation compatible Periodicals volume
30 currently processed in a manual incoming secondary operation will be moved to an
31 automated processing operation to the greatest extent possible when the processing

1 window exists. Periodicals, particularly weekly and daily publications, are processed in
2 some cases manually in order to avoid service failure.

3 5. Automation/Mechanization Update

4 In FY 2005, 59 percent of flat mail incoming secondary (non carrier-route presort)
5 volume was processed in the plants, and 93 percent of this volume was finalized on
6 automated operations. At the same time, the percent of total flats workload in plants
7 was 82 percent on the AFSM 100, 10 percent on the UFSM 1000, 8 percent in manual
8 sortation. Currently, incoming secondary distribution is performed on
9 automation/mechanization for 12,160 zones.

10 Overall, deployments of the current and future programs to enhance flats
11 processing have resulted and will continue to result in positive improvements for
12 processing operations.

13 6. Description of Future System Beyond the Test Year

14 With the deployments of the AFSM 100 and the UFSM 1000 complete, new
15 methods to distribute and deliver flats are being researched and developed to ensure
16 that current methods are continually improved. The value of DPS flats is still being
17 reviewed and explored. While the specifics are yet to be fully resolved, it is envisioned
18 that the Postal Service could likely proceed with sequencing flats in the near future
19 using a piece of technology that will incorporate an automated two-pass process for
20 sorting the flats in delivery sequence order.

21 It is expected that the sequencing equipment will be able to accommodate much
22 of the volume currently processed on the AFSM 100s and UFSM 1000s, however,
23 certain mail pieces (e.g., items prepared in rigid containers) are not likely to be
24 processed into delivery point sequence since doing so would create unstable flats
25 bundles for the carriers. Testing is being conducted at the Indianapolis, IN mail
26 processing annex using a prototype piece of equipment, and it is expected that by early
27 next calendar year further sequencing will be performed using a pre-production
28 machine.

29 Three significant changes for mailers are expected if and when the Postal
30 Service moves toward a DPS environment for flats. First, all flats that claim the barcode
31 discount will be required to bear an 11-digit barcode, similar to letters, in order to sort to

1 delivery point. Second, more restrictive addressing standards are likely to be placed on
2 discounted flat mail. For the opportunities of flats sequencing to be fully realized, the
3 flats must be taken directly to the street with little or no manipulation. To facilitate
4 effective delivery on the street, the addresses must be aligned and visible to the
5 carriers. Since the flat mail pieces will likely require consistent feeding into the
6 equipment in relation to the bound edge, the address must be placed in relation to the
7 bound edge to ensure proper orientation when the flats are presented to the carriers.
8 Third, with the possible exception of saturation Enhanced Carrier Route (ECR) mail,
9 carrier route presorted bundles will not have value for DPS zones, with 5-digit or 5-digit
10 scheme presort being the finest sort required, similar to the situation with letters. Larger
11 scheme bundles or stacks of flats prepared on pallets for one or multiple sort plans
12 could likely be a more appropriate preparation for flats in a sequencing environment.
13 This could reduce the bundle sorting requirements and minimize the bundle securing
14 methods in place today. Emphasis will also be placed on mail piece machinability and
15 the appropriate entry requirements to maximize the candidate flat volume for DPS. As
16 flats are shifted to a fully-automated sequenced environment, there will increasing
17 importance for non-letter mail to be processed and delivered entirely within the flat mail
18 stream. The Postal Service intends to continue working with the mailing industry on
19 these issues, providing ample time for mailers to make any needed changes in the
20 future.

21

22 C. Parcels, Bundles, Sacks, and Trays

23 In this part of my testimony, I provide an overview of operations as they relate to
24 the processing of parcels, bundles, sacks, and trays today and in the test year.

25 1. Parcel Processing

26 Standard Mail and Package Services parcels are predominantly processed within
27 the bulk mail network consisting of 21 Bulk Mail Centers (BMCs) and seven Auxiliary
28 Service Facilities (ASFs)¹⁴. Priority Mail and First-Class Mail parcels are predominantly

¹⁴ The Buffalo, NY ASF does not sort Destination Bulk Mail Center (DBMC) Standard Mail and Package Services parcels. The parcels for this service are processed at the Pittsburgh, PA BMC.

1 processed in processing and distribution centers and Logistics and Distribution Centers
2 (L&DCs).

3 a. Equipment

4 Apart from the recent enhancements described below, machinable parcels have
5 been processed in the BMCs with the same basic equipment for approximately 30
6 years. ASFs are not similarly equipped. Non-machinable outside parcels (NMOs) are
7 either sorted manually or with the use of mechanized sorting equipment at several
8 BMCs depending on the non-machinability characteristics of the parcel.

9 This equipment ranges from basic rolling conveyors to more elaborate keying and
10 sorting machines. Priority and First-Class Mail parcels are processed either manually or
11 using parcel and bundle sorting equipment (see the Bundle Processing section that
12 follows).

- 13 • Parcel Sorter Machines (PSM) are fed by mechanized conveyors which feed
14 parcels onto slides. Parcels are then manually separated and inducted into a tilt
15 tray sorter. Parcel barcodes enhance the sortation of machinable parcels and
16 reduce manual keying requirements for greater efficiencies and increased
17 productivity. If a barcode does not exist on the parcel, the ZIP Code information is
18 read by the operator, manually keyed, and a 5-digit barcode label is applied to the
19 parcel for subsequent handlings. The NJ and Chicago BMCs have received the
20 Top OCR upgrade which replaces the current Package Bar Code Sorting System
21 (PBCS) and provides single sided OCR capability. These two BMCs do not have
22 SSIU technology (see below) and will not receive the SSIU-OCR upgrade.
- 23 • The Singulate, Scan, Induction Unit (SSIU) – This equipment has been deployed to
24 19 of 21 BMCs. The SSIUs, two per BMC, improve the singulation process and
25 automate induction of barcoded parcels onto the sortation equipment (i.e.,
26 secondary parcel sorter). The device allows parcels to be sent, one at a time,
27 through a dimensioning unit, a weigh-in-motion scale, and through a scanning
28 tunnel that reads the package barcode. Packages are then automatically inducted

1 onto the sorter. This greatly reduces the need for High Speed Induction Units
2 (HSIUs)¹⁵ and the clerks who operate them.

3 The Singulate, Scan, Induction Unit (SSIU) Optical Character Reader (OCR)
4 program will add OCRs to 38 SSIUs. This purchase includes two units deployed to
5 each of 19 small or medium Bulk Mail Centers (BMCs). It will reduce parcel
6 processing costs by decreasing the manual keying of ZIP Code information on non-
7 barcoded parcels. Deployment is expected to begin in October 2006 and be
8 completed in June 2007.

9 b. Mailflow

10 Non-presort or non-dropshipped parcels entered into the mailstream are
11 transported to the origin BMC either directly from retail/delivery unit or more commonly
12 consolidated through the plants. The origin BMC sorts the machinable parcels on the
13 primary PSM which sorts parcels to the high-volume 5-digit destinations within the BMC
14 service area as well as to each destination BMC. Parcels for the lower-density
15 destinations within the BMC service area are sorted from the primary PSM directly to
16 the secondary PSM, which sorts parcels to 5-digit destinations for a total of
17 approximately 2,000 separations. The 5-digit containers of machinable parcels are
18 transported to the delivery units either directly from the BMCs on occasion or, more
19 commonly, transferred through a plant.

20 NMO parcels are processed to the 3-digit level in the BMCs for their service area
21 and transferred to the plants¹⁶. Plants then process the NMOs received from the BMCs
22 to the 5-digit level. This operation is performed manually and requires regular set-up
23 (gathering of rolling stock and placarding containers) and breakdown, regardless of the
24 volume processed.¹⁷

¹⁵ HSIU equipment is still in use at the two BMCs that did not receive SSIUs.

¹⁶ In limited instances, NMOs can also be sorted to the 5-digit level in the BMCs for high-volume zones.

¹⁷ Another example of fixed costs that cause workhours to vary less than volume.

1 Parcels presorted to BMC level and drop shipped at the destination BMC are
2 processed on PSMs to the 5-digit level. Many BMCs induct these parcels directly into
3 the secondary PSM based on the preparation of the parcels and BMC configuration.
4 Parcels presorted to 5-digits and drop shipped at an SCF are cross-docked to delivery
5 units. For the most part, parcels are sorted to carrier route at the delivery unit
6 regardless of class.

7 Priority Mail pieces are processed at plants using manual, mechanized, or
8 automated distribution (see SPBS and APPS in the following section). Within certain
9 regions of the country, the outgoing and incoming Priority Mail processing is
10 consolidated at a regional distribution facility making extensive use of mechanized and
11 automated sorting equipment. These facilities were previously called Priority Mail
12 Processing Center (PMPCs), but have now been converted to Logistics and Distribution
13 Centers (L&DCs). With this conversion, the facilities have taken on additional
14 processing responsibilities and no longer have just one primary responsibility. These
15 facilities have also assumed pallet, tray, and bundle sorting responsibilities in addition to
16 Priority Mail (parcel and flat) piece distribution.

17 In general, operations will expand the utilization of parcel barcodes as additional
18 equipment is deployed that can read and sort via the barcode (e.g., APPS) and more
19 volume is shifted to these machines. In addition to the enhanced readability and
20 productivity savings associated with barcode sorting, unique barcodes can also provide
21 diagnostic information for use in service performance improvement and, I have been
22 told, will enhance our ability to streamline the acceptance function in the near future.
23 For these reasons, barcode placement on a significant majority of our parcel volume is
24 of increased importance.

25 2. Bundle Processing

26 Flat mail bundles that arrive at a mail processing plant in sacks, on pallets, or in
27 flat trays, are often sorted before they are dispatched or opened for piece distribution.
28 When pallets and sacks contain bundles made up to finer sortation levels than the
29 container, a bundle sort is required. This is accomplished in a manual, mechanized, or
30 automated operation. Bundles are usually sorted into rolling containers.

1 Bundle integrity can have a significant impact on the productivity of any bundle
2 sorting operation. If and when a bundle breaks prematurely, the value of the bundle
3 presort can be partially or completely lost, and the bundle may require distribution in a
4 residual distribution operation. Also, productivity can suffer when, for example, a
5 mailhandler attempts to capture and repair a ruptured bundle within the bundle sorting
6 operation. Any improvements to bundle integrity either through customer mail
7 preparation, changes in mailing standards, or more rigid mail acceptance procedures
8 will reap significant savings within mail processing.

9 a. Equipment

- 10 • Small Parcel and Bundle Sorter (SPBS) - The SPBS is deployed with four, five, or
11 six induction stations, and requires a staffing of no more than three people per
12 induction station. The SPBS can sort to 100 separations. However, some sites
13 have added either 16 or 32 additional bins to these machines. There are currently
14 335 machines deployed in the field. The average throughput of the SPBS is
15 between 678 and 945 pieces per hour per induction station. The majority of plants
16 and 19 of the 21 BMCs have SPBSs. Many of the SPBS have been modified to
17 include a specially designed feed system which consolidates all the induction lines
18 into a centralized network capable of transferring mail from all types of mail
19 containers and transporting the contents on mechanized conveyors to all the
20 induction/keying consoles. There are currently 272 feed systems deployed in the
21 field. With the SPBS Feed System, a staffing reduction equivalent to 0.5 to 3 people
22 per crew can be realized, depending on the number of induction stations.
- 23 • Automated Package Processing System (APPS) – The APPS is the next generation
24 machine for sorting parcels and bundles of mail. Depending on the configuration, a
25 single APPS can replace up to two SPBS machines. The APPS further automates
26 package processing by providing greater processing capacity through automatic
27 package induction, singulation and address recognition. It utilizes a carousel-type
28 cross belt sorter subsystem capable of processing 9,500 pieces per hour and
29 providing a sustained high-speed throughput. The APPS has the ability to process
30 pieces of a lighter weight than the PSMs, in many cases pieces even below two

1 ounces, providing the ability to automate many of the otherwise smaller,
2 nonmachinable items.

3 Some of the unique features of the APPS include a Feed Subsystem that
4 handles pallet unloaders, All Purpose Container Unloaders, or bulk loads from other
5 standard containers. The Singulation Subsystem de-stacks the packages/bundles
6 and aligns the items into a single file. The Data Collection Subsystem collects
7 detailed information about each package such as package type, size, and weight.
8 Using the state-of-the-art Optical Character Reader / Barcode Reader (OCR/BCR),
9 the APPS Address Recognition Subsystem, consisting of a multi-sided image
10 capture unit, lifts images of the mail pieces (four-sided imaging) for further
11 processing. Recorded images are presented to the OCR/BCR to determine the
12 correct destination ZIP Code and the type of package. If unsuccessful, the images
13 are then transmitted to an on-line Video Coding System (VCS) in one of four RECs,
14 where images will be keyed remotely. The most efficient means of sorting is via an
15 accurate and readable barcode, since the presence of a barcode increases the
16 accept rate and decreases the dependence on the less productive VCS keying.

17 The APPS program will deploy 76 machines (74 operational and 2 training)
18 designed to replace the older, labor-intensive SPBS in larger offices. At most of the
19 sites receiving an APPS, the SPBS at that site will be redeployed to another
20 location. Each new APPS will be deployed with either one or two feed systems and
21 will have 100, 150, or 200 sort bins. Deployment is underway and is expected to be
22 completed in August 2006. The Phase 2 potential purchase is expected to be for
23 approximately 20 machines which will be deployed in 2007.

- 24 • Linear Integrated Parcel Sorters (LIPS) - The LIPS machine is not part of a national
25 program and is procured locally. The configuration and performance vary based on
26 the vendor, but the basic design consists of a feed station where mailpieces or
27 bundles are keyed and sent down a rolling conveyor for deposit into rolling
28 containers or pallet boxes.

29 b. Mailflow

30 Bundles, or packages of flats, are processed in both BMCs (Standard Mail and
31 Package Services) and mail processing plants (all classes). Mixed-ADC bundles are

1 transported to the origin plant to be opened for piece distribution to the ADC network.
2 Destination BMCs and ADC plants sort bundles primarily to 3-digit and SCF
3 separations. Plants subsequently sort 3-digit and SCF containers for either piece
4 distribution or a bundle sort depending on the presort level of the bundle. Other
5 separations, in addition to separations based solely on the presort level, may be
6 performed at the plants on bundles for various operational reasons. For example,
7 machinable volumes may be separated from non-machinable volumes.

8 Until recently, the SPBS has been the equipment of choice for these bundle-
9 sorting operations, but the Postal Service is undergoing a shift to a greater dependency
10 on the more productive APPS machine. The remaining sortation of bundles is
11 performed with LIPS equipment or in manual operations. Manual distribution involves
12 either dumping the bundles on a conveyor belt and sorting to containers, or sorting the
13 bundles into containers directly from the pallet.

14 Mechanized and manual bundle distributions require manual labor for operational
15 set-up and breakdown. This involves the collection and placement of containers and
16 placards for set-up. Also, at the time of dispatch, containers are closed and moved to
17 the dock to meet transportation. No matter the volume received during a specific
18 operating window, set-up and breakdown are fairly fixed.¹⁸

19 3. Sack Processing

20 The Postal Service is aggressively exploring options for postal and customer
21 preparation of bundles and parcels in order to reduce the dependency on sacks. One
22 option is to maximize the amount of mail prepared on destination pallets by optimizing
23 the presort rules and adjusting the pallet preparation minimums. Multiple options have
24 been made available to customers over the last 12 months that shift mail from sacks to
25 pallets. Also, options will be investigated that allow the entry of smaller, local mailings
26 at destination facilities in alternate containers or possibly by unloading the bundles
27 straight into a container (e.g., rolling stock, pallet box) provided by the plant. Finally,
28 non-sack alternatives for the preparation of origin entered sacks will be explored (e.g.,
29 further use of tubs, origin mixed pallets). Based on the cost associated with sorting,

¹⁸ This is one reason why workhours fluctuate less than volume in these operations.

1 transporting, and dumping sacks, as well as the impact to the contents (e.g., bundle
2 breakage) any decrease in sack utilization is expected to produce significant benefits.

3
4
5 a. Equipment

- 6 • Sack Sorting Machine (SSM) - Sacks are sorted in BMCs on the SSM to the BMC
7 network for origin sacks and, for intra-BMC volume, to the 3-digit/SCF level. Keying
8 or automated reading of the barcoded label occurs at the induction station, while the
9 clerk places the sack into a bucket that inducts it onto the tilt tray system. In limited
10 instances, plants utilize mechanized sack sorters to process sacks from the 3-
11 digit/SCF level to incoming primary piece distribution operations and to 5-digit direct
12 containers. Efforts are being made to remove many of the sack sorters in use today
13 due to the low productivity, high maintenance cost, and a decrease in sack volume.
14 Most of the sack sorters in plants have already been removed. Removal of BMC
15 sack sorters is underway and will continue in the near future. As the success of the
16 reduction in sacks effort is realized, sack sorters will continue to be
17 decommissioned.

18 b. Mailflow

19 Sacks arrive at plants and BMCs from customers and other plants and may be
20 containerized or bedloaded in vehicles. Containers are unloaded with either pallet
21 handling equipment or, if wheeled, with manual labor. Containerized loads are much
22 more efficient for unloading than bedloads. Bedloaded sacks are unloaded manually
23 and, in some cases, the unloading is accomplished with the assistance of mechanized
24 conveyors. Bedloads can maximize transportation cube utilization, yet are labor
25 intensive and time consuming to unload.

26 Intra-BMC sacks are transported to the plants for opening or, in the case of
27 carrier-route and some 5-digit sacks, further sortation to downstream facilities.
28 Mechanized sack dumping equipment assists with emptying sacks of parcels into the
29 parcel sorter system in the BMCs. Sack sortation is performed in plants, in a few cases
30 with mechanized sack sorters, but primarily with manual labor. Sacks are opened in the
31 plants and delivery units with manual labor.

1 The costs associated with processing sacks are largely unaffected by the number
2 of pieces in the sack. When a customer chooses to optionally prepare a sack with
3 fewer than the minimum number of pieces for that presort level as opposed to
4 consolidating the flats in a higher-level sack, additional costs result from the required
5 processing, transporting, and opening of the additional sacks. Customers are often
6 motivated to prepare these sacks for service reasons. A decision has been made to
7 eliminate the option to prepare sacks with fewer than the minimum number of pieces in
8 order to produce the significant savings associated with the consequent reduction in
9 sacks. Standard procedures will be reemphasized with plant personnel to mitigate any
10 negative impact on service as a result of this change.

11 4. Tray Processing

12 a. Equipment

- 13 • Low Cost Tray Sorter – LCTS is being deployed to support material handling
14 operations in our plants, Bulk Mail Centers (BMCs), and Air Mail Centers (AMCs).
15 They are being deployed in inbound tray sorting operations and outbound dispatch
16 operations and are allowing us to reduce material handling workhours required for
17 those operations. The machines sort letter trays and flat tubs using roller conveyors
18 to runouts (e.g., 3-digit destination), where the trays/tubs are then placed onto
19 pallets or into containers. The cost of LCTS varies depending on configuration and
20 the ability to scan barcodes on tray labels and/or dispatch and routing tags.
- 21 • Tray Management System (TMS) - TMS uses tray identification, transport, storage,
22 and process control technologies to automate the movement and staging of trayed
23 letter and flat mail between most sortation operations. TMS is assembled from a
24 family of common components that can be easily reconfigured. TMS is currently in
25 use in 29 plants throughout the country. There are no further plans for additional
26 systems at this time.
- 27 • Integrated Dispatch & Receipt (IDR) Program - The IDR will automate, streamline,
28 and organize mail dispatch and receipt functions, allowing continuous flow and
29 minimizing or eliminating manual handling between operations at 229 mail
30 processing facilities. Each IDR will be a unique, site-specific combination of up to 10
31 different equipment types. Seven of these 10 machines have been successfully

1 deployed in prior efforts while three are new and will be deployed for the first time
2 under this program. A variety of equipment, such as Automatic Tray Slevers
3 (ATS), Enhanced Airline Assignment (EAA) systems, and Robotic Containerization
4 Systems (RCS), are being deployed under this program. Fifty-three new Gantry
5 style robots will be purchased under the IDR program by March 2007 in addition to
6 the 114 existing systems. The mix of equipment to be deployed was determined on
7 a site-by-site basis as dictated by each site's dispatch requirements. Deployment
8 began in November 2004 and is expected to be completed in September 2006.

9 The ATS equipment automatically sleeves all letter trays used in postal
10 operations. The EAA system automatically processes sleeved and strapped letter
11 trays or flat tubs for integration into a facility's tray dispatch line. The RCS is a self-
12 contained module that can be integrated into a site's existing source conveyor
13 system. RCS automatically sorts and loads trays/tubs into a mail container or onto a
14 pallet for dispatch. Tray systems will also be deployed to connect IDR machines to
15 each other and to mail processing equipment, as appropriate. Receipt and Dispatch
16 Unloaders are being developed which will automate the unloading of trays from mail
17 transport equipment, thus improving the efficiency of platform operations. This
18 program will develop one or two standard machines which will unload trays/tubs
19 from either rolling stock or pallets. The Receipt and Dispatch Unloaders will
20 complement the equipment currently being deployed under the IDR program.

21 b. Mailflow

22 Standard Mail letter trays are no longer sorted in the BMCs using the SSMs due
23 to the low productivity and the impact on the trays associated with this method of
24 sortation (e.g., lost tray labels). Origin trays are sorted to the BMC network and intra-
25 BMC trays are sorted to the 3-digit/SCF level now using either Low-Cost Tray Sorters or
26 other mechanized equipment that in certain cases is also used to sort NMOs. In the
27 plants, trays are sorted manually, with the assistance of the tray handling equipment
28 described above, or by TMS. Trays sorted at origin are either transported to the Airport
29 Mail Center (First-Class Mail only), sorted to the appropriate containers for dispatch to
30 surface transportation, or flowed to the appropriate piece distribution operation.
31 Destination trays are sorted and flowed to the appropriate piece operation, dispatched

1 to a downstream distribution facility, or dispatched directly to a delivery unit (e.g.,
2 CSBCS and manual sites). Mixed ADC/AADC trays are sent to a nearby plant for
3 outgoing piece distribution.

4 5. Description of Future System Beyond the Test Year

5 The Postal Service continues to evaluate new technologies that have the
6 potential to process a wide range of products at higher throughputs and sort into
7 separations with varying configurations. In the near term, the Postal Service will
8 continue to explore enhancements to existing parcel, bundle, sack, and tray sorting
9 equipment with the goal of reducing labor and improving equipment reliability.

10 11 D. Allied Operations

12 In addition to the distribution of individual mailpieces as described above, there
13 are operations, termed “Allied Operations”, which handle mail in bulk. These include
14 platform, opening units, cancellation, flat mail preparation, and pouching. The
15 automation of distribution operations places a premium on consistency and
16 dependability in the allied operations that provide mail for piece distribution. New
17 support systems for the AFSM 100 are described above and include the Automatic
18 Induction System (AI), Automatic Tray Handling System (ATHS), Automatic Flat Tray
19 Ladders (AFTLs), and the Integrated Dispatch and Routing System (IDR), which also
20 handles letter trays. All of these systems automate a portion of the allied workload and
21 increase the consistency and dependability of these operations. The return on these
22 new systems can only improve as the characteristics of the mail improve. For example,
23 poorly prepared bundles that disintegrate and cause jams when handled by the new
24 Automated Package Processing System (APPS) cause a much greater loss in
25 productivity compared to manual or mechanized handling. We have published
26 suggestions on how to prepare bundles to reduce breakage and are working with
27 individual mailers to improve their bundles. Not only are pallets of bundles more cost-
28 effective to handle than sacks, but there is less damage to bundles on pallets according
29 to an MTAC study (USPS-LR-I-297/R2000-1).

30 31 E. The Breakthrough Productivity Initiative (BPI) in Mail Processing

1 In FY 1999, efforts were underway to develop and establish a mechanism that
2 would fairly measure the performance for plant and delivery Operations. From this
3 effort the Breakthrough Productivity Initiative (BPI) was developed and implemented.

4 BPI for Operations (Mail Processing, Customer Service and Delivery Services) is
5 a tool that is used to measure production efficiency. This is accomplished by collecting
6 data (volume and actual hours) by processing category type, (e.g., automation or
7 manual, office or street delivery).

8 The Performance Achievement measure is the computed value that corresponds
9 to the production efficiency for a unique operation and is represented as a percent
10 value, 0% to 100%, with 100% representing the highest. The Performance
11 Achievement Percent is computed as follows:

- 12 • Total Mail Volume by unique category - Actual
- 13 • Total Work Hours by unique category - Actual
- 14 • Predefined Target Productivity – Computed yearly based on actual productivity
15 by category
- 16 • Earned Hours – Computed value based on Total Mail Volume divided by
17 Predefined Target Productivity
- 18 • Opportunity Hours – Computed value based on Total Work Hours minus Earned
19 Hours

20 Although opportunity hours are calculated for each type of operation, it is unlikely
21 that field initiatives will result in the same percentage reduction in opportunity hours for
22 each type of operation. Since savings estimates are more predictable for larger groups
23 of operations, BPI savings are assumed distributed to each of the following groups in
24 direct proportion to the opportunity hours in each group.

- 25 • Letter Distribution such as the Delivery Barcode Sorter (DBCS) and manual
26 casing.
- 27 • Flats Distribution such as the Advanced Flats Sorting Machine 100 (AFSM 100)
28 and manual casing.
- 29 • Bulk Mail Centers.
- 30 • Manual Priority and Parcels.

- 1 • Other Mechanized operations such as the Small Parcel and Bundle Sorter
2 (SPBS) and the Tray Sorter.
- 3 • Allied operations such as the Platform and Opening Units.

4 5 F. Future Network Considerations

6 As trends in mail volume alter the product mix, the Postal Service continues to
7 explore options to modify the processing and transportation networks to realize greater
8 efficiencies and extract savings when and where possible. When considering the
9 necessary nodes within the network and the processing responsibilities of those nodes,
10 this evolutionary process will take into consideration both service standards and our
11 ability to make the capital commitments. Objectives include shifting much of the allied
12 activities (e.g., bundle sorting, parcel distribution, container breakdown) to Regional
13 Distribution Centers (RDCs) when efficiencies can be realized and consolidating
14 outgoing and incoming piece distribution operations into a more shape-based
15 processing environment.

16 As part of the effort to implement a network of RDCs, the BMCs will be retrofitted
17 to serve in this capacity. In addition to removing the sack sorters, many of the Primary
18 PSMs will be removed freeing space for the installation of one or more APPS machines.
19 In addition, a family of equipment will be deployed to distribute letter trays, flat tubs,
20 sacks, and NMOs at all RDCs. Together, this equipment will enable efficient allied
21 operations for the activities targeted for consolidation into this network.

22 For more information about the network realignment and facility consolidations,
23 refer to the testimonies of Witness Shah (USPS-T-1) and Witness Williams (USPS-T-2)
24 in Docket No. N2006-1. Network realignment is another process for achieving current
25 and future BPI savings goals as discussed in Section E above.

26 27 III. Volume and Workhours in Mail Processing

28 The response of mail processing workhours to changes in volume is important for
29 field budgeting. When the volume forecast indicates a change, we expect a less than
30 proportionate impact on mail processing workhours. Precisely how much less depends
31 on the specific operations where the change is expected. In Docket No. R97-1 Dr.

1 Bradley (USPS-T-14) used mathematical models to estimate the proportionality factor,
2 called "volume variability", for various groups of operations (e.g., DBCS) called "cost
3 pools". Beginning in that docket, Operations witnesses have gone to considerable
4 lengths to explain the operational realities that result in volume variabilities well below
5 100 percent. In Docket No. R97-1, Mr. Moden (USPS-T-4) explained the Management
6 Operating Data System (MODS), which is the fundamental data system for control of
7 mail processing operations in the Postal system and the source of data for volume
8 variability models. He also explained in general terms why volume variability differs
9 among operations. In the same docket, Mr. Steele (USPS-RT-8) described the
10 management incentives and activities that drive staffing to the minimum consistent with
11 good service. Although the incentive systems have been modified several times in the
12 last eight years, the cost reductions and record service levels in recent years
13 demonstrate that the drive to minimize cost while maximizing service has only
14 intensified.

15 In Docket No. R2000-1, Ms. Kingsley (USPS-T-10) explained the planning and
16 analysis system that results in the actual staffing levels in each plant. She also
17 described why the Postal Service prefers centralized distribution. Costs can be
18 minimized by centralizing distribution within the largest delivery area that can be reliably
19 served from a single plant. In Docket No. R2001-1 she (USPS-T-39) explained how the
20 network of plants, post offices, carrier routes, etc., that must be served by the
21 centralized plant define a major part of the plant's workload by determining the sort
22 schemes that must be run every day. As she observes, even if volume declines,
23 perhaps due to a rate increase, all of the sort schemes must still be run. This results in
24 what she calls the "schemes effect". This effect is, in my judgment, a major reason why
25 workhours commonly vary less than volume, measured quarter-to-quarter, in individual
26 mail processing operations.

27 Ms. Kingsley used automated sorting operations at two local plants to illustrate
28 her point. At these two plants the sort plan or "scheme" must be changed, collectively,
29 129 times each day on the 12 Flat Sorting Machines (FSM) and 226 times per day on
30 the 49 (Letter) Barcode Sorters (BCS). This multitude of daily sort schemes -- 10.7 per
31 FSM and 4.6 per BCS -- is required to distribute mail to other postal plants and, most

1 especially, to all the delivery points in the plant's local service area. For example, to
2 "set-up" a sorting machine to, say, separate Alexandria mail into all of the 5-digit ZIPs in
3 Alexandria, the data file that associates ZIP Codes with output bins (i.e., the scheme or
4 sort-program) must be input to the sorting machine computer. Trays to receive mail
5 from each bin must be labeled with the ZIP Code corresponding to each bin. When the
6 "run" of mail for Alexandria has been separated by ZIP, then the bins must be emptied
7 (i.e., "swept") so that Alexandria mail will not be mixed with mail for the next scheme
8 which might, say, sort Arlington mail. If there were more or less Alexandria mail, the
9 Alexandria run would be longer or shorter, but the time for set-up and sweep would
10 remain the same.

11 Out of the hundreds of schemes run each day, a few very large schemes (e.g.,
12 the initial outgoing and incoming schemes, i.e., the "primaries") may be run on multiple
13 sorters due to time constraints. But for the vast majority of schemes, this is neither
14 necessary nor desirable. If time did not allow for sorting, say, all the Alexandria letter
15 mail, then Standard Mail letters would be held for later processing. Indeed, in the final
16 sort for most letter mail -- when the mail is arranged in delivery sequence -- we are
17 unable to split a run among machines even if there was a desire to do so, since there
18 would be no practical means to merge the results. This contrasts with the erroneous
19 idea, expressed in the Docket No. R2005-1 Opinion and Recommended Decision,
20 paragraphs I-124 and I-125, that additional mail would result in additional runs in direct
21 proportion to the additional mail or, alternatively, result in running mail continuously
22 without bothering to change the sort scheme. As this example demonstrates, the nature
23 and purpose of a sort run is much more mundane and not susceptible to the abstract
24 alternatives proposed in the referenced paragraphs. Absent other factors, volume
25 variability in an automated distribution operation that involves significant set-up incident
26 to scheme changes must be less than 100 percent.

27 The redesigned In-Office Cost System (IOCS) provides additional information on
28 the time spent waiting for mail and changing schemes. It is my understanding that the
29 PRC methodology identifies the workhours waiting for mail as fixed in computing volume
30 variability in mail processing. Certainly, the time required to change the multitude of
31 schemes for all the different destinations is at least as fixed as the time waiting for mail.

1 As shown in the Machine Sorting Operations table that follows, this suggests a simple
 2 bookkeeping method for estimating volume variability in the base year. Using data
 3 supplied by witness Bozzo (USPS-T-12, Table 2), first add the waiting and scheme
 4 percentages as entirely fixed costs and then assume that all other work hours are fully
 5 variable, ignoring fixed elements in auxiliary activities like container handling. This lacks
 6 the comprehensive refinement and sophistication of the econometric methods used to
 7 estimate the average variability over a period of several prior years, but its very
 8 simplicity illustrates why volume variability is a practical, everyday reality used in
 9 planning and budgeting postal distribution operations.

10

11

Machine Sorting Operations

	IOCS Waiting % (1)	IOCS Scheme % (2)	Bookkeeping Estimate % 100-(1)-(2)	Econometric Estimate %
Letters	1	9	90	88**
Flats*	1/1	8/6	91/93	99/72
Parcels / Bundles	2	6	92	87

12

* AFSM 100 / UFSM 1000

13

** USPS-T-12, Table 1

14

15 At facilities with both AFSM 100s and UFSM 1000s, the UFSM 1000 is used for
 16 both small volume schemes that are not cost-effective to run on the AFSM 100 and as
 17 backup for that machine. As backup, the UFSM 1000 processes mail that falls outside
 18 of the AFSM 100s processing schedule or physical capabilities. For example, the
 19 physical characteristics of many publications require UFSM 1000 processing (e.g.,
 20 heavier and thicker publications). Late arrivals and service requirements for many
 21 Periodicals ensure that there will be workhours incurred with the machine idle waiting for
 22 Periodicals. Significantly lower volume variability is to be expected.

23

24 Manual distribution operations are also subject to the schemes effect. They are
 25 operator paced and suffer from the normal human tendency to slow down when there is
 not much backlog. In plants with automated sorting machines, manual casing is limited

1 to mail that requires special service (e.g., daily Periodicals) or cannot be efficiently run
2 on the automated equipment. We no longer have the clerks or manual cases to sort
3 large volumes of letters or flats manually. If equipment goes out-of-service, the mail
4 volume is directed to operational equipment when available and delivery units are
5 notified that their mail will arrive late. Because manual operations process automation
6 rejects, they normally provide the last increment of mail needed for a dispatch and must
7 be staffed late in a tour to ensure that the last bit of mail gets to the dock on time to
8 meet service. All of these factors combine to produce low volume variability in manual
9 operations.

10 The size of the material being processed also has an effect. It simply requires
11 more time to handle containers of larger items when changing schemes. Quickly
12 replacing flat tubs during a scheme change on the AFSM 100 is relatively
13 straightforward as flat tubs are light and not especially bulky. Changing out pallet-boxes
14 on the APPS is considerably more time consuming.

15 Allied operations such as the platform, opening units, and pouching are subject
16 to the size problem and have elements that are analogous to scheme changes. For
17 example, many truck arrivals and departures are partially full and occur daily to support
18 a particular office or customer. A radical change in volume might result in a different
19 size truck, but the time required to seal and unseal the truck door will remain the same
20 regardless of how much mail is on the truck.

21 In addition to mailers like small local newspapers that will always have exactly
22 one truck, the Postal Service has a disproportionate number of very small offices. Of
23 the 32,894 Post Offices, Stations and Branches, 8,197 service only post office boxes
24 and another 12,971 have one to three carrier routes (Source: Address Management
25 System). Despite changes in volume, each can be expected to send and receive
26 exactly one less-than-full container (e.g., hamper or APC) of mail per day. Regardless
27 of volume, that single unchanging container must be loaded and dumped each day – a
28 fixed cost required to support the network. Similar considerations apply on a national
29 level. Every plant prepares single containers for numerous distant AADCs, ADCs, and
30 SCFs that have little volume but must receive a separate shipment to achieve national
31 service standards. Handling such containers is a fixed cost independent of volume.

1 Allied operations tend to be gateway and dispatch operations that must move
 2 mail into the facility rapidly for processing or out to the dock to meet the dispatch
 3 schedule. They must be staffed regardless of whether trucks and mail volume appear
 4 as planned. The AFCS is a prime example. To ensure that sorting operations will finish
 5 on time, it is essential to start them as early as possible. The AFCS cancellation
 6 operation is staffed to get mail cancelled and moved into sorting as early as possible. In
 7 the late afternoon, there will usually be times when this operation is staffed but idle for
 8 lack of mail as trucks struggle to reach the plant through rush hour traffic or bad
 9 weather. Mail handlers will be assigned other work while they are waiting, but are
 10 commonly not clocked out and back into the cancellation operations during the brief
 11 periods involved. In addition to the AFCS, cancellation involves flats and manual
 12 cancellations, culling and dumping. Dumping in particular involves significant fixed
 13 costs due to all the single containers from small offices described in the preceding
 14 paragraph.

15 Because of all these other fixed costs, the simple bookkeeping approach of
 16 waiting time and scheme changes does not produce the low volume variabilities for
 17 manual sorting and cancellation measured by the econometric approach as shown in
 18 the table below. Indeed, the econometric results illustrate the importance of these other
 19 costs. These fixed costs are not just a theory debated among economists; they are a
 20 reality that we live with every day in our distribution operations.

21

22

Manual Sorting and Cancellation

	IOCS Waiting % (1)	IOCS Scheme % (2)	"Bookkeeping" Estimate % 100-(1)-(2)	Econometric Estimate %
Letters	2	3	95	89
Flats	2	4	94	94
Parcels	3	5	92	80
Cancellation	4	NA	96	50

23

1 Collectively, the testimonies of Mr. Moden, Mr. Steele and Ms. Kingsley provide
2 an impressively comprehensive description of the aspects of mail processing that are
3 relevant to volume variability. I especially recommend Chapter 3 in Ms. Kingsley's
4 Docket No. R2001-1 testimony, also entitled "Volume and Workhours in Mail
5 Processing", for both its solid description of fixed time in mail processing and as a
6 capstone for all the Operations testimony that preceded it.

7